

STATE OF MICHIGAN
COURT OF APPEALS

In the Matter of JASMINE COZORT, Minor.

FAMILY INDEPENDENCE AGENCY,

Petitioner-Appellee,

v

BOBBY COZORT,

Respondent-Appellant,

and

GREGG COZORT, JR.,

Respondent.

In the Matter of DESIREE COZORT, Minor.

FAMILY INDEPENDENCE AGENCY,

Petitioner-Appellee,

v

BOBBY COZORT,

Respondent-Appellant,

and

GREGG COZORT, JR.,

Respondent.

UNPUBLISHED

July 3, 2003

No. 243464

Montcalm Circuit Court

Family Division

LC No. 2001-000008-NA

No. 243465

Montcalm Circuit Court

Family Division

LC No. 2001-000009-NA

In the Matter of SEBASTIAN COZORT, Minor.

FAMILY INDEPENDENCE AGENCY,

Petitioner-Appellee,

v

BOBBY COZORT,

Respondent-Appellant,

and

GREGG COZORT, JR.,

Respondent.

In the Matter of IAN COZORT, Minor.

FAMILY INDEPENDENCE AGENCY,

Petitioner-Appellee,

v

BOBBY COZORT,

Respondent-Appellant,

and

GREGG COZORT, JR.,

Respondent.

Before: Sawyer, P.J., and Meter and Schuette, JJ.

PER CURIAM.

No. 243466
Montcalm Circuit Court
Family Division
LC No. 2001-000010-NA

No. 243467
Montcalm Circuit Court
Family Division
LC No. 2001-000017-NA

Respondent-appellant appeals as of right from the trial court's orders terminating her parental rights to the minor children under MCL 712A.19b(3)(c)(i), (c)(ii), and (g). We affirm. This appeal is being decided without oral argument pursuant to MCR 7.214(E)(1)(b).

Respondent-appellant argues that she was given inadequate time to comply with the case service plans before her parental rights were terminated. We disagree, finding that adequate time was given. Respondent-appellant's situation was deteriorating, not improving.

We also find that the statutory grounds were established. Despite being ordered to do so, respondent-appellant did not involve herself in the education of her children. She failed to regularly examine and discuss with the children the school journals they were keeping. She did not ask the foster mother how the children were doing in school. She did not attend parent/teacher conferences. She failed to provide the required concrete plan for providing the children with an education. Her housing and employment situations were very unstable. She failed to attend parenting classes.

The trial court did not clearly err in finding that the statutory grounds for termination were established by clear and convincing evidence. MCR 3.977(J); *In re Miller*, 433 Mich 331, 337; 445 NW2d 161 (1989). Further, the trial court did not err in failing to find that it was in the children's best interests not to terminate the parental rights. *In re Trejo Minors*, 462 Mich 341; 612 NW2d 407 (2000). Thus, the trial court did not err in terminating respondent-appellant's parental rights to the children.

Affirmed.

/s/ David H. Sawyer
/s/ Patrick M. Meter
/s/ Bill Schuette